





## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gev

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/764,766  | 01/16/2001      | Gunter K. Heine      | A-63367-1/JAS           | 8440             |  |
| 7   | 7590 09/20/2002 |                      |                         |                  |  |
| James A. Sheridam THOMASON, MOSER & PATTERSON, LLP 350 Cambridge Avenue |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
|   |                 |                      | MULLINS, BURTON S       |                  |  |
| Suite 250<br>Palo Alto, CA  | 94306           |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE    |                  |  |
|   |                 |                      | 2834                    | 12               |  |
|   |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002 | 10               |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Via ₹  | Application No.   | Appii                                | cant(s)  | ,                      |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Advisory Action  | 09/764,766  | HEIN                                 | E ET AL.   | M                      |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | Examiner  | Art U                                | nit  |                        |
|  | Burton S. Mullins   | 2834                                 |  |                        |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a   | ppears on the cover sheet w   | vith the corresp                     | ondence addr   | ess                    |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2002 FAILS TO F<br>Therefore, further action by the applicant is required a<br>final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe<br>condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap<br>Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114  | to avoid abandonment of th<br>r: (1) a timely filed amendn<br>opeal (with appeal fee): or ( | iis application.                     | A proper rep   | ly to a                |
| PERIOD FOR   | REPLY [check either a) or   | b)]                                  |  |                        |
| <ul> <li>a)</li></ul>  | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set  | ling date of the fina                | rejection  |                        |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of et 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorts (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | xtension and the corresponding am<br>ened statutory period for reply origin                 | ount of the fee. Th                  | e appropriate exte   | nsion fee under        |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37   | CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis   | nin the period s<br>smissal of the a | set forth in<br>appeal.  |                        |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered   | d because:  |                                      |  |                        |
| (a) Methey raise new issues that would require fu  |   | search (see NC                       | OTE below);  |                        |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No   |   |                                      |  |                        |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or   | on in better form for appeal  | by materially                        | reducing or si   | mplifying the          |
| (d) they present additional claims without can   | celing a corresponding nun  | nber of finally i                    | ejected claim  | s.                     |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  |   |                                      |  |                        |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re-  | jection(s):   |                                      |  |                        |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | uld be allowable if submitte  | d in a separate                      | e, timely filed  | amendment              |
| 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request application in condition for allowance because:   | for reconsideration has be  | en considered                        | but does NO  | Γ place the            |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | because it is not directed S  | OLELY to issu                        | es which were  | newly                  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims   | ent(s) a)⊠ will not be ente<br>swould be rejected is provi                                  | red or b)⊡ will<br>ded below or a    | be entered a ppended.  | nd an                  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow   | vs:   |                                      |  |                        |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |                                      |  |                        |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |                                      |  |                        |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>67-86</u> .  |   |                                      |  |                        |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |                                      |  |                        |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on  |   |                                      | by the Examir  | ier.                   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater   | nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper  | No(s)                                |  |                        |
| 10. Other:   |   |                                      | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH | 1/1                    |
|  |   | 9-                                   |  | S. MULLINS<br>EXAMINER |

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/764,766





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant fails to incorporate all limitations of intervening claims, hence "second phase windings" (claim 72) and "second phases" (claim 74) lack antecedent basis. Also, "... said rotor of said shaft, output of said probes..." (claim 72) is a comma splice. The issues raised in the 112 rejection of claims 67-86 have not been solved since it is still not clear in claim 72---if the actuator is combined with the motor---whether the first and second phase windings are part of the motor's "first set of windings" or if they are separate, actuator windings; and further in claims 76-78, whether "windings" refers to the motor's "first set of windings" or to the actuator's "first and second phase windings," or both. In short, it is not clear if the actuator "first and second phase windings" are the motor's "first set of windings" or not.